

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY MAY 26, 2000
AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY APRIL 27, 2000
AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY APRIL 5, 2000
AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY MARCH 23, 2000

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—1999–2000 REGULAR SESSION

ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 1913

Introduced by Assembly Members Cardenas, ~~Alquist, Cedillo, Correa, Firebaugh, Gallegos, Honda, Havice, Keeley, Longville, Reyes, Romero, Runner, Vincent, Washington, Wesson, Wildman, and Wright~~ and Senators Alarcon, Hughes, Murray, Solis, and Soto *Alquist, Calderon, Cedillo, Corbett, Correa, Davis, Firebaugh, Gallegos, Havice, Honda, Keeley, Kuehl, Longville, Lowenthal, Maddox, Reyes, Romero, Runner, Shelley, Strom-Martin, Vincent, Washington, Wesson, Wildman, Wright, and Zettel* and Senators Alarcon, Burton, Figueroa, Hughes, Murray, Ortiz, Solis, and Soto

(Coauthors: Assembly Members Aroner, Thomson, and Wiggins)

(Coauthor: Senator Polanco)

February 11, 2000

An act to amend Sections 743, 746, 749.21, 749.22, 749.23, and 749.27 of, and to add Sections 654.5 and 654.7 to, the Welfare and Institutions Code, relating to juveniles.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 1913, as amended, Cardenas. Juveniles: crime prevention.

(1) Existing law provides that in cases where a probation officer determines that a minor is or likely will be within the jurisdiction of the juvenile court, the officer may delineate an alternative program or programs, not to exceed 6 months, designed to adjust the situation bringing the minor within the juvenile court's jurisdiction by providing care and treatment for addiction, individual and family counseling, and other services, except as specified. Existing law provides that a court may, without adjudging the minor a ward of the court, continue any hearing on a petition for 6 months and order the minor to participate in these alternative programs and services.

This bill would provide that all minors designated as eligible for alternative supervision diversion pursuant to these provisions shall be enrolled in an appropriate accredited school program as a condition of program participation, be placed on a probation officer caseload of no more than 35 cases, be given a social history assessment as specified, and be provided with alcohol and substance abuse services, counseling, and mental health services, if appropriate. This bill would also provide that, subject to appropriation in the State Budget, \$30,000,000 shall be available to local community-based organizations, mental health agencies, and other specified agencies to provide services pursuant to these provisions.

The bill would also provide that eligible minors arrested for misdemeanor offenses shall be referred to the local probation department.

The bill would also provide that, subject to appropriation in the State Budget, at least ~~\$75,000,000~~ \$50,000,000 shall be made available for support of local juvenile crime diversion and gang prevention programs, at least \$10,000,000 shall be made available for utilization by local school district police departments for development of school-based juvenile crime diversion programs, as specified, an additional ~~\$30,000,000~~ \$15,000,000 would be made available for school-based probation supervision programs, and at least \$25,000,000 shall

be made available to support local juvenile crime diversion and gang prevention programs for predelinquent youth. The bill would provide that, subject to appropriation in the State Budget, at least \$20,000,000 shall be made available annually to develop or expand gender specific programs for female offenders and to support juvenile ranches and camps for female juvenile offenders, and at least \$8,000,000 shall be made available annually for the support of local female juvenile crime diversion and gang prevention programs. The bill would also provide that, subject to appropriation in the State Budget, at least \$6,000,000 shall be made available annually for the Abolish Chronic Truancy Program in Los Angeles County, and at least \$6,000,000 annually to the Communities in Schools program, as specified. By imposing additional duties on local law enforcement personnel, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

(2) Existing law establishes a 3-year pilot project, subject to appropriation of funds therefor, to be known as the “Repeat Offender Prevention Project” for operation in specified counties, the purpose of which is to design, establish, implement, and evaluate a model program for the reduction of recidivism among juvenile offenders, as specified.

This bill would specify that this program is contingent upon the appropriation of ~~\$220,000,000~~ \$10,000,000, remove the 3-year limitation, make the program available to ~~every county~~ probation ~~department~~ departments in the state *unless the board of supervisors of the county adopts a specified resolution*, and require participating probation departments to evaluate the need for specific programs for female juvenile offenders.

(3) Existing law provides that a minor shall be selected for participation in the Repeat Offender Prevention Project based on several specified factors, including that the minor is 15½ years of age or younger, has been declared a ward of the juvenile court for the first time, and has been evaluated and found to have at least 3 of 5 specified factors that place the minor at risk of becoming a chronic juvenile or adult offender. One of those factors is that the minor matches a specified profile.

This bill would revise the criteria to delete that factor.

(4) Existing law establishes the Juvenile Crime Enforcement and Accountability Challenge Grant Program, and provides that to be eligible for grants under this program, each county shall establish a multiagency juvenile justice coordinating council, as specified, that shall develop and implement a continuum of county-based responses to juvenile crime. Existing law requires the Board of Corrections to award grants that provide funding for 3 years.

This bill would instead provide that each county that receives funding under this program or under the Repeat Offender Prevention Project must establish a multiagency coordinating council. The bill would require the Board of Corrections to award grants for a period of 4, rather than 3 years. The bill would also declare the Legislature's intent to appropriate ~~\$55,000,000~~ \$25,000,000 in the Budget Act of 2000 for funding of the program, as specified.

(5) This bill would provide that contingent upon a funding allocation of \$3,500,000 in the State Budget, the California Youth Authority Gang Violence Reduction Project shall be established in Los Angeles, Orange, Alameda, and San Joaquin Counties as specified.

(6) This bill would provide that contingent upon an allocation of \$3,800,000 in the State Budget, the Department of the Youth Authority shall establish a Gang Information and Supervision Agent project at each of its 17 parole districts.

(7) This bill would provide that contingent upon an allocation of \$2,300,000 in the State Budget, the Gang Service Project shall be added to each parole unit in the state.

~~(8) This bill would provide that contingent upon an allocation of \$15,000,000 in the State Budget, the Department of the Youth Authority shall administer a bid process for allocation of the funds to local and community agencies that provide gang prevention services in local communities with a high number of commitments to the Department of the Youth Authority.~~

~~(9) The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement, including the creation of a State Mandates Claims Fund to pay the costs of~~

mandates that do not exceed \$1,000,000 statewide and other procedures for claims whose statewide costs exceed \$1,000,000.

This bill would provide that with regard to certain mandates no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

With regard to any other mandates, this bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs so mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to the statutory provisions noted above.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: yes.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. Section 654.5 is added to the Welfare and
2 Institutions Code, to read:

3 654.5. (a) All minors designated as eligible for
4 alternative supervision diversion pursuant to Section 654
5 or 654.2 shall:

6 (1) Be enrolled and attending an appropriate
7 accredited school program as a condition of program
8 participation.

9 (2) Be placed on a probation officer caseload of no
10 more than 35 assigned cases for at least six months.

11 (3) Be given a social history assessment which includes
12 school evaluations, mental health assessment, and family
13 background history.

14 (4) Be provided alcohol and substance abuse services,
15 mental health services, and other types of services,
16 including counseling dealing with school related
17 problems, if appropriate.

18 (b) Subject to appropriation in the State Budget, an
19 appropriation of thirty million dollars (\$30,000,000) shall
20 be available to local community-based organizations,
21 mental health agencies, and agencies that provide alcohol
22 and substance abuse programs so that they may provide
23 services to minors eligible for alternative supervision
24 diversion pursuant to Section 654 or 654.2. These funds

1 shall be administered by the Office of Criminal Justice
2 Planning through a request for proposal process. A local
3 probation department shall be the lead applicant and
4 shall distribute approved grant funds to authorized
5 agencies through a request for proposal process.

6 SEC. 2. Section 654.7 is added to the Welfare and
7 Institutions Code, to read:

8 654.7. (a) Minors who are arrested for committing a
9 misdemeanor offense or attempted commission of a
10 misdemeanor offense and who are eligible for alternative
11 supervision diversion pursuant to Section 654 or 654.2
12 shall be referred to the local probation department.

13 (b) Subject to appropriation in the State Budget, the
14 State of California shall make at least ~~seventy-five million~~
15 ~~dollars (\$75,000,000)~~ *fifty million dollars (\$50,000,000)*
16 available annually for support of local juvenile crime
17 diversion and gang prevention programs. These funds
18 shall be administered by the Office of Criminal Justice
19 Planning and allocated and distributed through a request
20 for proposal process. A local law enforcement agency
21 shall be the lead applicant.

22 (c) Subject to appropriation in the State Budget, the
23 State of California shall make at least ten million dollars
24 (\$10,000,000) available annually for utilization by local
25 school district police departments for the purpose of
26 developing school-based juvenile crime diversion
27 programs. These funds shall be administered by the
28 Office of Criminal Justice Planning and allocated and
29 distributed through a request for proposal process. Eighty
30 percent of the funds shall be allocated for law
31 enforcement personnel costs, and the remainder shall be
32 used for services and support and for computer
33 technology. An additional ~~thirty million dollars~~
34 ~~(\$30,000,000)~~ *fifteen million dollars (\$15,000,000)* shall be
35 made available for school-based probation supervision
36 programs.

37 (d) Subject to appropriation in the State Budget, the
38 State of California shall make at least twenty-five million
39 dollars (\$25,000,000) available annually to support local
40 juvenile crime diversion and gang prevention programs

1 for predelinquent youth. ~~These Ten million dollars~~
2 ~~(\$10,000,000) of these funds shall be administered by the~~
3 ~~Office of Criminal Justice Planning and allocated and~~
4 ~~distributed through a request for proposal process.~~
5 ~~Fifteen million dollars (\$15,000,000) of these funds shall~~
6 ~~be administered by the Office of Criminal Justice~~
7 ~~Planning and allocated and distributed through a request~~
8 ~~for proposal process.~~ A local law enforcement agency or
9 probation department shall be the lead applicant.

10 (e) Subject to appropriation in the State Budget the
11 State of California shall make at least twenty million
12 dollars (\$20,000,000) available annually to develop or
13 expand gender specific programs for female offenders.
14 These programs shall include, but shall not be limited to,
15 female juvenile crime diversion programs, female
16 juvenile gang prevention programs, and female juvenile
17 offender camp programs.

18 These funds shall be administered by the Office of
19 Criminal Justice Planning and shall be allocated and
20 distributed through a request for proposal process. The
21 probation department shall be the lead applicant. Of the
22 twenty million dollars (\$20,000,000) appropriated in the
23 State Budget for the purposes of this subdivision, ten
24 million dollars (\$10,000,000) shall be made available to
25 the Office of Criminal Justice Planning for the purposes
26 of this subdivision. The remaining ten million dollars
27 (\$10,000,000) shall be administered by the Board of
28 Corrections to support juvenile ranches and camps for
29 female juvenile offenders.

30 (f) Subject to appropriation in the State Budget, the
31 State of California shall make at least eight million dollars
32 (\$8,000,000) available annually for the support of local
33 female juvenile crime diversion and female juvenile gang
34 prevention programs. These funds shall be administered
35 by the Office of Criminal Justice Planning and allocated
36 and distributed through a request for proposal process. A
37 local law enforcement agency or a county probation
38 department shall be the lead applicant.

39 (g) Subject to appropriation in the State Budget, the
40 State of California shall make at least six million dollars

1 (\$6,000,000) available annually for the Abolish Chronic
2 Truancy (ACT) program, *to be administered by the*
3 *Office of Criminal Justice Planning Planning*. The
4 current program is a partnership of the Los Angeles
5 District Attorney's office and schools in Los Angeles
6 County with its goals to reduce chronic truancy in
7 elementary schools, through parent and child
8 accountability and addressing attendance programs at
9 the earliest possible time before the child's behavior is
10 ingrained and while the parent still exercises control over
11 the child (pre-high school), and long-term reduction of
12 delinquency, adult criminality, and joblessness.

13 (h) Subject to appropriation in the State Budget, the
14 State of California shall make at least six million dollars
15 (\$6,000,000) available annually to the Communities in
16 Schools (CIS) program to fund five or six new CIS
17 programs modeled after the CIS program in the San
18 Fernando Valley area of the County of Los Angeles. The
19 funds shall be administered by the Office of Criminal
20 Justice Planning. The California State University at
21 Northridge shall serve as a technical adviser with respect
22 to the funding of CIS programs.

23 SEC. 3. Section 743 of the Welfare and Institutions
24 Code is amended to read:

25 743. Contingent upon the appropriation of ~~two~~
26 ~~hundred twenty million dollars (\$220,000,000)~~ *ten million*
27 *dollars (\$10,000,000)* annually, the "Repeat Offender
28 Prevention Project" shall be made available ~~to every~~
29 ~~county~~ *by the Office of Criminal Justice Planning through*
30 *the request for proposal process to a* probation
31 department in this state unless the board of supervisors
32 of one or more of these counties adopts a resolution to the
33 effect it will not participate in the project, each of which
34 shall design, establish, implement, and evaluate a model
35 program to meet the needs of a juvenile offender
36 population identified as having the potential to become
37 repeat serious offenders utilizing the findings of
38 exploratory studies conducted in Orange County
39 between 1989 and 1993 by the research staff of the Orange
40 County Probation Department which identified certain

1 minors who were designated as the “8 percent”
2 population. The main goal of this program is to develop
3 and implement a cost-effective multiagency,
4 multidisciplinary program which targets youth
5 displaying behavior that may lead to delinquency and
6 recidivism. Every county probation department that
7 participates in this program shall evaluate the need for
8 including gender specific programs for female juvenile
9 offenders as part of this program.

10 SEC. 4. Section 746 of the Welfare and Institutions
11 Code is amended to read:

12 746. A minor shall be selected for participation in a
13 program established pursuant to this article based upon
14 the following factors:

15 (a) The minor is 15^{1/2} years of age or younger, has
16 been declared a ward of the juvenile court pursuant to
17 Section 601 or 602 for the first time, and is to be supervised
18 by a probation department selected for participation in
19 this project.

20 (b) The minor has been evaluated and found to have
21 at least three of the following factors, that place the minor
22 at a significantly greater risk of becoming a chronic
23 juvenile or adult offender:

24 (1) School behavior and performance problems. This
25 shall include at least one of the following: attendance
26 problems; school suspension or expulsion; or failure in two
27 or more academic classes during the previous six months
28 or comparable academic period.

29 (2) Family problems. These shall include at least one
30 of the following: poor parental supervision or control;
31 documented circumstances of domestic violence; child
32 abuse or neglect; or family members who have engaged
33 in criminal activities.

34 (3) Substance abuse. This shall include any regular use
35 of alcohol or drugs by the minor, other than
36 experimentation.

37 (4) High-risk predelinquent behavior. This shall
38 include at least one of the following: a pattern of stealing;
39 chronic running away from home; or gang membership
40 or association.

1 SEC. 5. Section 749.21 of the Welfare and Institutions
2 Code is amended to read:

3 749.21. The Juvenile Crime Enforcement and
4 Accountability Challenge Grant Program shall be
5 administered by the Board of Corrections for the purpose
6 of reducing juvenile crime and delinquency. This
7 program shall award grants based on criteria developed
8 by the Board of Corrections and on a competitive basis to
9 counties that (a) develop and implement a
10 comprehensive, multiagency local action plan that
11 provides for a continuum of responses to juvenile crime
12 and delinquency, including collaborative ways to address
13 local problems of juvenile crime; and (b) demonstrate a
14 collaborative and integrated approach for implementing
15 a system of swift, certain, graduated responses and
16 appropriate sanctions for at-risk youth and juvenile
17 offenders.

18 SEC. 6. Section 749.22 of the Welfare and Institutions
19 Code is amended to read:

20 749.22. Counties that receive funding pursuant to
21 Section 743 or from the Juvenile Crime Enforcement and
22 Accountability Challenge Grant Program shall establish
23 a multiagency juvenile justice coordinating council that
24 shall develop and implement a continuum of
25 county-based responses to juvenile crime. The
26 coordinating councils shall, at a minimum, include the
27 chief probation officer, as chair, and one representative
28 each from the district attorney's office, the public
29 defender's office, the sheriff's department, the board of
30 supervisors, the department of social services, the
31 department of mental health, a community-based drug
32 and alcohol program, a city police department, the
33 county office of education or a school district, and an
34 at-large community representative. In order to carry out
35 its duties pursuant to this section, a coordinating council
36 shall also include representatives from nonprofit
37 community-based organizations providing services to
38 minors. The board of supervisors shall be informed of
39 community-based organizations participating on a
40 coordinating council. The coordinating councils shall

1 develop a comprehensive, multiagency plan that
2 identifies the resources and strategies for providing an
3 effective continuum of responses for the prevention,
4 intervention, supervision, treatment, and incarceration
5 of male and female juvenile offenders, including
6 strategies to develop and implement locally based or
7 regionally based out-of-home placement options for
8 youths who are persons described in Section 602.
9 Counties may utilize community punishment plans
10 developed pursuant to grants awarded from funds
11 included in the 1995 Budget Act to the extent the plans
12 address juvenile crime and the juvenile justice system or
13 local action plans previously developed for this program.
14 The plan shall include, but not be limited to, the following
15 components:

16 (a) An assessment of existing law enforcement,
17 probation, education, mental health, health, social
18 services, drug and alcohol and youth services resources
19 which specifically target at-risk juveniles, juvenile
20 offenders, and their families.

21 (b) An identification and prioritization of the
22 neighborhoods, schools, and other areas in the
23 community that face a significant public safety risk from
24 juvenile crime, such as gang activity, daylight burglary,
25 late-night robbery, vandalism, truancy, controlled
26 substance sales, firearm-related violence, and juvenile
27 alcohol use within the council's jurisdiction.

28 (c) A local action plan (LAP) for improving and
29 marshaling the resources set forth in subdivision (a) to
30 reduce the incidence of juvenile crime and delinquency
31 in the areas targeted pursuant to subdivision (b) and the
32 greater community. The councils shall prepare their
33 plans to maximize the provision of collaborative and
34 integrated services of all the resources set forth in
35 subdivision (a), and shall provide specified strategies for
36 all elements of response, including prevention,
37 intervention, suppression, and incapacitation, to provide
38 a continuum for addressing the identified male and
39 female juvenile crime problem, and strategies to develop
40 and implement locally based or regionally based

1 out-of-home placement options for youths who are
2 persons described in Section 602.

3 (d) Develop information and intelligence-sharing
4 systems to ensure that county actions are fully
5 coordinated, and to provide data for measuring the
6 success of the grantee in achieving its goals. The plan shall
7 develop goals related to the outcome measures that shall
8 be used to determine the effectiveness of the program.

9 (e) Identify outcome measures which shall include,
10 but not be limited to, the following:

11 (1) The rate of juvenile arrests.

12 (2) The rate of successful completion of probation.

13 (3) The rate of successful completion of restitution and
14 court-ordered community service responsibilities.

15 SEC. 6.5. Section 749.23 of the Welfare and
16 Institutions Code is amended to read:

17 749.23. The Board of Corrections shall award grants
18 that provide funding for four years. Funding shall be used
19 to supplement, rather than supplant, existing programs
20 and grants may be awarded to any county including those
21 counties currently receiving funds pursuant to this
22 article. Grant funds shall be used for programs that are
23 identified in the local action plan as part of a continuum
24 of responses to reduce juvenile crime and delinquency.
25 No grant shall be awarded unless the applicant makes
26 available resources in an amount equal to at least 25
27 percent of the amount of the grant. Resources may
28 include in-kind contributions from participating
29 agencies. However, moneys derived from the General
30 Fund regardless of source, shall not be included in the
31 funds identified as local matching funds for purposes of
32 qualifying for the grant. In awarding grants, priority shall
33 be given to those proposals which include additional
34 funding that exceeds 25 percent of the amount of the
35 grant. In awarding grants, priority shall also be given to
36 programs in counties where the population exceeds
37 500,000 and the rate of violent crime exceeds the state
38 average.

39 SEC. 7. Section 749.27 of the Welfare and Institutions
40 Code is amended to read:

749.27. Funding for the Juvenile Crime Enforcement and Accountability Challenge Grant Program for grant awards shall be provided from the amount appropriated in Item 5430-101-0001 of the Budget Act of 2000. Up to 5 percent of the amount appropriated in Item 5430-101-0001 of the Budget Act of 2000 shall be transferred upon the approval of the Director of Finance, to Item 5430-001-0001 for expenditure as necessary for the board to administer this program, including technical assistance to counties and the development of an evaluation component.

It is the intent of the Legislature to appropriate ~~fifty-five million dollars (\$55,000,000)~~ *twenty-five million dollars (\$25,000,000)* in the Budget Act of 2000 for funding the Juvenile Crime Enforcement and Accountability Challenge Grant Program.

Of this amount, up to eleven million one hundred thousand dollars (\$11,100,000) shall *be* distributed to the Juvenile Crime Enforcement and Accountability Challenge Grant Programs funded under the Budget Act of 1996, *and* up to thirteen million nine hundred thousand dollars (\$13,900,000) shall be distributed to the Juvenile Crime Enforcement and Accountability Challenge Grant Programs funded under the Budget Act of 1998, ~~and up to thirty million dollars (\$30,000,000) shall be distributed in the form of competitive grants through the Juvenile Crime Enforcement and Accountability Challenge Grant Program from funds appropriated in the Budget Act of 2000.~~ Up to 5 percent of the amount appropriated in the Budget Act of 2000 for the Juvenile Crime Enforcement and Accountability Challenge Grant Program shall be transferred, upon the approval of the Director of Finance, to the Board of Corrections, as necessary for the board to administer this program, provide technical assistance to counties, and to develop and monitor the evaluation component of this program.

SEC. 8. The Legislature finds and declares the following:

(a) The Department of the Youth Authority successfully operated the Gang Violence Reduction

1 Project in the East Los Angeles area for many years. This
2 project received national, state, and local recognition for
3 the innovative and effective impact the project had on
4 gang violence. The two key components attributed to the
5 program's success were that the program was operated
6 and managed by the Youth Authority parole agents who
7 provided essential credibility with other law
8 enforcement agents and the program operated on a dual
9 mission, which was to provide critical intelligence to law
10 enforcement regarding gang activity and mitigate or
11 prevent gang conflicts that lead to violence. Contingent
12 on a funding allocation of three million five hundred
13 thousand dollars (\$3,500,000) in the State Budget, the
14 California Youth Authority Gang Violence Reduction
15 Project shall be established in four counties throughout
16 the state, Los Angeles, Orange, Alameda, and San Joaquin
17 Counties, to provide eight hundred fifty thousand dollars
18 (\$850,000) per project site to include the cost of Youth
19 Authority personnel, equipment, and services.

20 (b) The Department of the Youth Authority currently
21 supervises gang-involved parolees throughout 17 parole
22 offices; however, these parolees are not consolidated into
23 specified gang caseloads. A Gang Information
24 Supervision Agent position should be established in each
25 parole district throughout the state. The Gang
26 Information Supervision Agent would allow for greater
27 coordination between law enforcement and probation
28 officers for high risk gang involved parolees. Contingent
29 on an allocation of three million eight hundred thousand
30 dollars (\$3,800,000) in the State Budget, the California
31 Youth Authority shall establish the Gang Information and
32 Supervision Agent project at each of its 17 parole districts.

33 (c) The Department of the Youth Authority currently
34 operates two Gang Service Projects in the state. This
35 program provides additional supervision to gang
36 identified youth as well as providing a designated amount
37 of community service hours. Youth Correctional
38 Counselor positions should be added to each parole unit
39 to provide the additional supervision and establish the
40 community service projects. Contingent on an allocation

1 of two million three hundred thousand dollars
2 (\$2,300,000) in the State Budget, the Gang Service
3 Project shall be added to each parole unit in the state.

4 ~~(d) The Department of the Youth Authority currently~~
5 ~~administers the Youth Centers and Youth Shelters Bond~~
6 ~~Act. The bond act, together with additional~~
7 ~~appropriations, has allowed the department to distribute~~
8 ~~approximately fifty million dollars (\$50,000,000) in capital~~
9 ~~improvement costs to build or acquire youth centers and~~
10 ~~youth shelters throughout California. This has been a~~
11 ~~major contribution to providing a safe and appropriate~~
12 ~~place for at-risk youth. However, adequate operating~~
13 ~~funds for these centers has not been provided.~~
14 ~~Contingent on an allocation of fifteen million dollars~~
15 ~~(\$15,000,000) in the State Budget, the Department of the~~
16 ~~Youth Authority shall administer a bid process for~~
17 ~~allocation of these funds to local and community agencies~~
18 ~~that provide gang prevention services in local~~
19 ~~communities with a high number of commitments to the~~
20 ~~Department of the Youth Authority.~~

21 SEC. 9. No reimbursement is required by this act
22 pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California
23 Constitution for certain costs that may be incurred by a
24 local agency or school district because in that regard this
25 act creates a new crime or infraction, eliminates a crime
26 or infraction, or changes the penalty for a crime or
27 infraction, within the meaning of Section 17556 of the
28 Government Code, or changes the definition of a crime
29 within the meaning of Section 6 of Article XIII B of the
30 California Constitution.

31 However, notwithstanding Section 17610 of the
32 Government Code, if the Commission on State Mandates
33 determines that this act contains other costs mandated by
34 the state, reimbursement to local agencies and school
35 districts for those costs shall be made pursuant to Part 7
36 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4 of Title
37 2 of the Government Code. If the statewide cost of the
38 claim for reimbursement does not exceed one million

1 dollars (\$1,000,000), reimbursement shall be made from
2 the State Mandates Claims Fund.

O

